
# MARDS Erasmus+ Banska Bystrica Training October 2nd - 5th 2019 Questionnaire

11 responses

1 General data


#### Country of origin





#### Institution






2. Training contents


### The overall training met my interests

11 responses




### I received new and relevant information for my work.

11 responses




I feel reliably and objectively informed about the training topics and goals.



# Introduction to collaborative doctoral programs was clearly presented






The training improved my awareness of important components of collaborative doctoral programs



# Brainstorming about two (2) collaborative doctoral programs within MARDS project was successful






# Preparation of the structures for new programs (key elements) was successful


8 (72.7%)

# Factors for success of collaborative doctoral programmes were clearly presented

10 responses




# Presentation of proposed collaborative doctoral programs and final discussion was successful




### The training offered contributions/results that I would consider innovative.

11 responses




# I will work with/apply/integrate the training outcomes in(to) my work at programmes development.

11 responses




I will inform my colleagues about the training and its content.



### The training programme met my needs for information.

11 responses




The training format (duration, size, group of participants, etc.) met my needs.




### Lecturers explained training topics clearly

11 responses




# Lecturers encouraged participation and answered questions fully and clearly






3. Training event organization and management




11 responses




### General organization during the training

11 responses




Domestic arrangements (accommodation, transport, meals etc.)



The information (on tasks, materials for the training etc.) received before the meeting from the coordinator






The coordinator facilitates communication and collaboration between partners. Everyone was encouraged to contribute to training discussion.



The training has been prepared and managed in the most (resource) efficient way in order to make best use of the available time






4. Quality of Project partnership

Communication amongst partners was effective



### Development of teamwork and positive attitudes was evident





5. General impression

### Please write at least two strengths (positive aspects) of conducted training workshop

7 responses

New PhD Joint Programs approaches

1) Good organization and 2) high level of partnership

Patience, correct

A lot of new information. Very good presentation.

Networks and knowledge exchange

Very good and useful workshop.

Lecturers and training format.

### Please write at least two weaknesses of this training workshop

4 responses

1) Bad communication between some partners and 2) lack of willingness from some partners to be more active during discussion part

Cooperation between Albanian and Montenegro partners, lack of legal information

Lack of teamwork and mutual comprension.

### What should be taken into account for the next training / suggestions?

3 responses

Further development of established concepts

Further communication

How to select the best Ph.D. candidates

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google. Report Abuse - Terms of Service - Privacy Policy

Google Forms